



### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/585,263	06/02/2000	Donald F. Gordon	SEDN/070CIP4	5643	
56015 75	7590 11/10/2005		EXAMINER		
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/			SALTARELLI,	SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D	
SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 100			2611		
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702		DATE MAIL ED. 11/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	r <del></del>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/585,263	GORDON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dominic D. Saltarelli	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iiil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Au</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,7-10,13 and 14 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,7-10,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the origina	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	

Art Unit: 2611

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 5, 7-10, 13, and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 1, on line 3, the limitation of "generating, at a headend, at least one bitmap for a broadcast video presentation" is not supported by the originally filed specification. There is no support for generating bitmaps for the broadcast video at the headend, as the broadcast video is defined as "broadcast TV, premium content, pay-per-view, and other content from a multiple service operation content delivery system" (applicant's specification, page 27, lines 11-16).

Application/Control Number: 09/585,263 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 2, 13, and 14, these also depend on claim 1 and thus contain the same limitations, and are thus also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Regarding claim 9, on lines 12-13, the limitation of "sending, from the headend to the terminal, a signal to active the channel information window" is not supported by the originally filed specification. There is no support for receiving IPG activation signals from the headend, as said signals are received from a remote control via activation of particular buttons on said remote control by a user (applicant's specification, page 32, lines 25-31).

Regarding claim 10, on lines 8-9, the limitation of "receiving, at the terminal from the headend, a signal to active the channel information window" is not supported by the originally filed specification. There is no support for receiving IPG activation signals from the headend, as said signals are received from a remote control via activation of particular buttons on said remote control by a user (applicant's specification, page 32, lines 25-31).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2611

5. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ellis et al. (5,986,650) [Ellis].

Regarding claim 5, Ellis discloses a method navigating (col. 9, lines 1-18) comprising:

generating, at a headend, a plurality of broadcast video displays (the channels of video programming being provided to the receiver shown in fig. 1) and a plurality of channel information windows (the downloaded bit maps, col. 6, lines 28-37, received from the data provider, col. 4, lines 55-67), the broadcast video displays including a particular broadcast video display, each broadcast video display being programming from one of a plurality channels (the method takes place in a standard cable broadcast system, col. 4, lines 55-67), the channel information windows including information about the channels (shown in figs. 11A-13C);

encoding, at the headend, the broadcast video displays and the channel information windows (the broadcast video and channel information are prepared for transmission by the data provider, which transmits the information to the receiver, col. 4 line 55 – col. 5 line 11);

transmitting, from the headend to the set top terminal, the broadcast video displays and the channel information windows (the broadcasts include program guide data, col. 4, lines 55- 67 which includes the bitmaps of the channel information windows shown in figs. 5A-5C and figs. 11A-13C, col. 6, lines 28-44);

Art Unit: 2611

compositing, at the set top terminal, the particular broadcast video display and an associated one of the channel information windows to produce a video stream for a display so that the channel information window overlays and obscures a portion of the particular broadcast video display (as shown in figs. 5A-5C, col. 6, lines 45-61); and

changing, at the set top terminal, the channel information window in response to a navigation command in a mode, while the particular broadcast video display remains the same (BROWSE mode, col. 12, lines 20-43).

Regarding claim 8, Ellis discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the navigation command in that mode navigates only through favorite channels (col. 17, lines 7-20, wherein users browse through channel listings according to a preferred category).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Hoarty (5,485,197).

Regarding claim 1, Ellis discloses a method for providing channel information windows (figs. 5A-5C and figs. 11A-13C) comprising:

Art Unit: 2611

generating, at a headend, a broadcast video presentation (the channels of video programming being provided to the receiver shown in fig. 1) and at least one bitmap for a channel information window (the downloaded and stored bit maps for screen configurations, col. 6, lines 28-37, part of input signal 11, col. 4, lines 55-67), the broadcast video presentation being programming from one of a plurality of channels (the broadcast video is standard television programming);

encoding, at the headend, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window (the broadcast video and channel information are prepared for transmission by the data provider, which transmits the information to the receiver, col. 4 line 55 – col. 5 line 11);

transmitting, from the headend to a set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window (the broadcasts include program guide data, col. 4, lines 55-67 which includes the bitmaps of the channel information windows shown in figs. 5A-5C and figs. 11A-13C, col. 6, lines 28-44);

receiving at the set top terminal a signal to activate the channel information window (col. 9 line 62 – col. 10 line 11);

decoding, at the set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window (col. 5, lines 11-16, wherein the receiver extracts the video programming and the associated program guide data from the received channels); and

Art Unit: 2611

compositing, at the set top terminal, the bitmap for the channel information window and the broadcast video presentation to produce a video stream for a display so that the channel information window overlays and obscures a portion of the broadcast video presentation on the display (as shown in figs. 5A-5C, col. 6, lines 45-61).

Ellis fails to disclose generating a bitmap for a broadcast video presentation at the headend.

In an analogous art, Hoarty teaches generating video signals in digital format (Hoarty teaches MPEG-compressed digital data streams, col. 5, lines 15-45, from digital MMCs, col. 7, lines 36-65), wherein digital video streams are known to be more bandwidth efficient and less susceptible attenuation.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Ellis to include generating bitmaps (digital image data) for a broadcast video presentation at the headend, as taught by Hoarty, for the benefit of providing more bandwidth efficient and robust video signals to users.

Regarding claim 2, Ellis and Hoarty disclose the method of claim 1, wherein transmitting the bitmap for the channel information window is performed via an out of band channel (the scheduling information is downloaded to the receiver using any known transmission means, including OOB channels, col. 5, lines 1-10).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 7, Ellis disclose the method of claim 5, including changing the particular broadcast video display to a new broadcast video display upon termination of the navigation command in that mode (by pressing "ENTER", and then the "MODE" key twice, col. 13, lines 1-18), but fails to disclose changing the particular broadcast video display is accomplished by generating, encoding, and transmitting video packet streams at the headend.

In an analogous art, Hoarty teaches a video distribution system (fig. 3) wherein changing a particular broadcast video display is accomplished by generating, encoding, and transmitting video packet streams at the headend (col. 7, lines 35-65, col. 8, lines 40-49, and col. 12, lines 15-32, wherein a particular user is allocated a particular frequency channel in order to access a very wide range of services, and a channel change command changes the content supplied on the "virtual" channel), for the benefit of providing a wide range of services to users.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person or ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Ellis to include said changing of the particular broadcast video display is accomplished by generating, encoding, and transmitting video packet streams at the headend, as taught by Hoarty, for the benefit of providing a wider range of services to users than would be possible given the finite amount of available bandwidth over a distribution medium.

Art Unit: 2611

8. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis in view of Hamilton et al. (5,579,055) [Hamilton].

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Ellis discloses a method for providing channel information windows (figs. 5A-5C and figs. 11A-13C) comprising:

generating, at a headend, a broadcast video presentation (the channels of video programming being provided to the receiver shown in fig. 1) and a bitmap for a channel information window (the downloaded and stored bit maps for screen configurations, col. 6, lines 28-37, part of input signal 11, col. 4, lines 55-67), the broadcast video presentation being programming from one of a plurality of channels (the broadcast video is standard television programming);

encoding, at the headend, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window (the broadcast video and channel information are prepared for transmission by the data provider, which transmits the information to the receiver, col. 4 line 55 – col. 5 line 11);

transmitting, from the headend to a set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window (the broadcasts include program guide data, col. 4, lines 55-67 which includes the bitmaps of the channel information windows shown in figs. 5A-5C and figs. 11A-13C, col. 6, lines 28-44);

decoding, at the set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window (col. 5, lines 11-16, wherein the

Art Unit: 2611

receiver extracts the video programming and the associated program guide data from the received channels); and

compositing, at the terminal, the bitmap for the channel information window and the broadcast video presentation to produce a video stream for a display so that the channel information window overlays and obscures a portion of the broadcast video presentation in the video stream (as shown in figs. 5A-5C, col. 6, lines 45-61).

Ellis fails to disclose receiving at the terminal from the headend, a signal to active the channel information window.

In an analogous art, Hamilton teaches an electronic program guide system (col. 3 line 62- col. 4 lines 15) that includes sending signals to activate channel information windows from a headend to a client device, simplifying the use of the program guide (col. 3, lines 1-10 and col. 4, lines 45-64).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Ellis to include receiving at the terminal from the headend, a signal to active the channel information window, as taught by Hamilton, for the benefit of simplifying the display of channel information windows from the user's perspective by automating their display.

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis and Hoarty as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bolanos et al. (5,793,364) [Bolanos].

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 13, Ellis and Hoarty disclose the method of claim 1, but fails to disclose requesting, by the set top terminal from the headend, the bitmap for the channel information in response to the signal to activate the channel information window.

In an analogous art, Bolanos teaches downloading graphics for a user interface on demand (col. 3, lines 24-32), for the benefit of not having to repeatedly transmit the user interface graphics.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Ellis and Hoarty to include downloading graphics for the user interface on demand, as taught by Bolanos, for the benefit of not having to repeatedly transmit the channel information window bitmap.

10. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellis and Hoarty as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of MacInnis (5,951,639).

Regarding claim 14, Ellis and Hoarty disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the set top terminal causes the channel information window to overlay the broadcast video presentation in response to the signal to activate the channel activation window (Ellis, col. 9, lines 1-18) but fails to disclose the bitmap for the channel information window is broadcast continually.

In an analogous art, MacInnis teaches a method for downloading data wherein the data is broadcast continually (col. 4, lines 20-41), for the benefit of alleviating the need to request the data from a source (col. 4, lines 38-41).

Art Unit: 2611

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method disclosed by Ellis and Hoarty to include broadcasting the data continually, as taught by MacInnis, for the benefit of alleviating the need to request the channel information window from the headend.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information

Art Unit: 2611

and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

# **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 (Date) Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Registration Number: Certificate of Transmission I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) - \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_. (Date) Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: Signature: Registration Number:

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dominic D. Saltarelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-7302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dominic Saltarelli Patent Examiner Art Unit 2611

DS

CHRISTOPHER GRANT BUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800